arXiv:1607.08135v1 [math.PR] 27 Jul 2016

REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO ANISOTROPIC NONLOCAL EQUATIONS

JAMIL CHAKER

Abstract. We study harmonic functions associated to the system of stochastic differential equations $dX_t^i = A_{i1}(X_{t-})dZ_t^1 + \cdots + A_{id}(X_{t-})dZ_t^d$, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, where $Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^d$ are independent one-dimensional symmetric stable processes with indices $\alpha_i \in (0,2)$. We prove Hölder regularity of bounded harmonic functions with respect to solutions of the above system of stochastic differential equations.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J75; Secondary 60H10, 31B05, 60G52

Keywords: Jump processes, Harmonic functions, Hölder continuity, Support theorem

1. Introduction

In the theory of integro-differential operators and jump processes regularity results gain in importance. One of the reasons to consider jump processes is that they provide realistic models in natural sciences or economics, see for instance [CT04]. In [KS79] Krylov and Safonov developed a technique of proving Hölder regularity and the Harnack inequality for harmonic functions corresponding to non-divergence form elliptic operators. Their main tool was a support theorem, which gives information about the topological support of a solution to the martingale problem associated to the operator. This technique was also used in [BL02] to prove similar results for nonlocal operators of the form

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} [f(x+h) - f(x) - 1_{\{|h| \le 1\}} h \cdot \nabla f(x)] a(x,h) dh,$$

under suitable assumptions on a. Next, in [BC10] Bass and Chen followed the same ideas to prove Hölder regularity for harmonic functions associated to solutions of systems of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes with highly singular Lévy measures. In this work we extend the results obtained by Bass and Chen to a larger class of driving Lévy processes.

A one-dimensional Lévy process $(Y_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is called *symmetric stable processes of order* $\gamma \in (0,2)$ if its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E} e^{i\xi Y_t} = e^{-t|\xi|^\gamma}, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Lévy measure of such a process is given by $\nu(dh) = c_\gamma |h|^{-1-\gamma} \, dh$, where $c_\gamma = 2^\gamma \Gamma\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right) / \left|\Gamma\left(-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\right|$.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \ge 2$. We assume that $Z_t^i, i = 1, \dots, d$, are independent one-dimensional symmetric stable processes with indices $\alpha_i \in (0,2)$. We set $Z = (Z_t)_{t \ge 0} = (Z_t^1, \dots, Z_t^d)_{t \ge 0}$.

The Lévy-measure of this process is supported on the coordinate axes and given by

$$\nu(dw) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\frac{c_{\alpha_k}}{|w_k|^{1+\alpha_k}} dw_k \left(\prod_{j \neq k} \delta_{\{0\}}(dw_j) \right) \right).$$

Therefore $\nu(A) = 0$ for every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which has an empty intersection with the coordinate axes. The generator L of Z is given for $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the formula

$$Lf(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (f(x + he_k) - f(x) - 1_{\{|h|\leq 1\}} \partial_k f(x) h) \frac{c_{\alpha_k}}{|h|^{1+\alpha_k}} dh.$$

For a deeper discussion on Lévy processes and their generators we refer the reader to [Sat13].

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $A : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ a matrix-valued function. We consider the system of stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_{ij}(X_{t-}) dZ_t^j, \\ X_0^i = x_0^i, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $X_{t-} = \lim_{s \nearrow t} X_s$ is the left hand limit.

This system has been studied in the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_d = \alpha \in (0, 2)$ by Bass and Chen in [BC06] and [BC10]. With the help of the martingale problem Bass and Chen show in [BC06] that for each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there exists one and only one weak solution $(X = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^{x_0})$ to (1). Moreover the family $\{X, \mathbb{P}^x, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ forms a conservative strong Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ whose semigroup maps bounded continuous functions to bounded continuous functions (see Theorem 1.1, [BC06]). Consequently it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (f(x + a_j(x)h) - f(x) - h1_{\{|h|\leq 1\}} \nabla f(x) \cdot a_j(x)) \frac{c_\alpha}{|h|^{1+\alpha}} dh,$$

coincides on $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the generator for any weak solution to (1), where $a_j(x)$ denotes the jth column of the matrix $A(x)$. A standard reference on martingale problems is [EK86].

In the scope of this paper we will not show the existence of a unique solution to (1), but assume there exists one. The following assumptions will be needed throughout the paper.

Assumption.

(i) *For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the matrix $A(x)$ is non-degenerate, that is* $\det(A(x)) \neq 0$.

(ii) *The functions $x \mapsto A_{ij}(x)$ and $x \mapsto A_{ij}^{-1}(x)$ are continuous and bounded for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

(iii) *For each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a unique weak solution $(X = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^{x_0})$ to (1). The family $\{X, \mathbb{P}^x, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ forms a conservative strong Markov process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and*

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} (f(x + a_j(x)h) - f(x) - h1_{\{|h|\leq 1\}} \nabla f(x) \cdot a_j(x)) \frac{c_{\alpha_j}}{|h|^{1+\alpha_j}} dh, \tag{2}$$

coincides on $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the generator for any weak solution to (1).

Remark. *Note that assumption (iii) is equivalent to existence of a unique solution to the martingale problem for $\mathcal{L}$ on $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Notation. Let A be the matrix-valued function from (1). Throughout the paper $\varpi(D)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of A on a Borel set D and we write $\Lambda(D)$ for the upper bound of A on D. We set $\alpha_* := \min\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ and $\alpha^* := \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we write c_i for positive constants and additionally $c_i = c_i(\cdot)$ if we want to highlight all the quantities the constant depends on.

In order to deal with the anisotropy of the process we consider a corresponding scale of cubes.

Definition 1. *Let $r \in (0,1]$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in (0,2)$. Moreover, let α be the geometric mean of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$, that is $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \alpha_d)^{1/d}$. For $k > 0$, we define*

$$M_r^k(x) := \bigtimes_{i=1}^{d} \left(x_i - (kr^{\alpha/\alpha_i}), x_i + (kr^{\alpha/\alpha_i})\right).$$

For simplicity we write $M_r(x)$ instead of $M_r^1(x)$.

Note that M_r^k is increasing in k and r. The advantage of using these sets is the fact that they reflect the different jump intensities of the process Z and compensate them.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $s > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose h is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and harmonic in $M_r^{1+s}(x_0)$ with respect to X. Then there exist $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(M_r^{1+s}(x_0)), \varpi(M_r^{1+s}(x_0))) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\Lambda(M_r^{1+s}(x_0)), \varpi(M_r^{1+s}(x_0))) > 0$, independent of h and r, such that*

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le c_1 \left(\frac{|x-y|}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}}\right)^{\beta} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(z)| \quad \text{for } x, y \in M_r(x_0).$$

Let us compare Theorem 2 with the result in [BC10], where the authors considered the case $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_d = \alpha$.

Theorem (Theorem 2.9, [BC10])**.** *Let $r \in (0,1]$ and $\gamma > 1$. Suppose that h is harmonic in $B(x_0, \gamma r)$ with respect to X and h is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exists positive constants c_1 and β that depend on γ, the upper bound of $A(x)$ and $A(x)^{-1}$ on $B(x_0, \gamma r)$, and the modulus of continuity of $A(x)$ on $B(x_0, \gamma r)$ but otherwise is independent of h and r such that*

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le c_1 \Big(\frac{|x-y|}{r}\Big)^{\beta} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(z)|.$$

We want to emphasize, that in the case $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_d$ the sets $M_r(x_0)$ reduce to a cube with radius r. Therefore this result is contained in Theorem 2, when choosing cubes instead of balls.

Structure of the article. This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide definitions and auxiliary results. We constitute sufficient preparation and study the behavior of the solution to the system. In Section 3 we study the topological support of the solution to the martingale problem associated to the system of stochastic differential equations. The aim of this section is to prove a Krylov-Safonov type support theorem. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. This work is part of the author's Master thesis, written under the supervision of Moritz Kassmann at Bielefeld University. Financial support by the German Science Foundation DFG (SFB 701) is gratefully acknowledged.

2. Definitions and auxiliary results

In this section we will provide important definitions and prove auxiliary results associated to the solution of the system (1).

Let $A^\tau(x)$ denote the transpose of the matrix $A(x)$ and $(a_j^\tau(x))^{-1}$ the j–th row of $(A^\tau(x))^{-1}$. For a Borel set D, let $T_D := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in D\}$ and $\tau_D := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin D\}$ be the first entrance time and the first exit time of D by X. From now on α stands for the geometric mean of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$.

Let us first recall the definition of harmonicity with respect to a Markov process.

Definition 3. *A bounded function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is called harmonic with respect to X in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if for every bounded open set U with $\operatorname{clos}(U) \subset D$*

$$h\left(X_{t\wedge\tau_U}\right) \text{ is a } \mathbb{P}^x\text{-martingale for every } x \in U.$$

For $R = M_s(y)$ we use the notation $\widehat{R} = M_s^3(y)$. The next Proposition is a pure geometrical statement and not related to the system of stochastic differential equations.

Proposition 4. *Let $r \in (0,1], q \in (0,1)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If $A \subset M_r(x_0)$ and $|A| < q$, then there exists a set $D \subset M_r(x_0)$ such that*

1. *D is the union of rectangles $\widehat{R_i}$ such that the interiors of the R_i are pairwise disjoint,*
2. *$|A| \leq |D \cap M_r(x_0)|$ and*
3. *for each i, $|A \cap R_i| > q|R_i|$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition V.7.2 in [Bas98].
We construct a collection of rectangles $\mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}$ as follows:
Divide $M := M_r(x_0)$ into 2^d equal rectangles $\{R(i_1)\}_{i_1=1}^{2^d}$ with disjoint interiors.
Here two cases can occur for $i_1 = 1, \dots, 2^d$:
If $|A \cap R(i_1)| > q|R(i_1)|$, then we let $R(i_1)$ be one of the rectangles in $\mathcal{R}$. This rectangles will be left untouched. Note that these sub-rectangle have side length r^{α/α_i} in each coordinate $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.
If $|A \cap R(i_1)| \leq q|R(i_1)|$, we split $R(i_1)$ into 2^d equal rectangles $\{R(i_2)\}_{i_2=1}^{d}$ of side length $2^{-1}r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}$ in each coordinate $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, such that the interiors are disjoint and differ again: If $|A \cap R(i_2)| >$

$q|R(i_2)|$, then $R(i_2)$ will be one of the R_i, otherwise we split $R(i_2)$ again into sub-rectangles. We continue this procedure and form with this method a suitable collection of rectangles. To be more precise, let

$$\mathcal{R}_n := \{R' \subset M : R' \text{ is a rectangle with side length } 2^{-n+1} r^{\alpha/\alpha_i} \text{ in the } i\text{th coordinate}$$
$$\text{and has vertices } \left(\frac{n_1 r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}}{2^{n-1}}, \dots, \frac{n_d r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}}{2^{n-1}}\right) \text{ for } n_1, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i \in \{1, \dots, d\}\}.$$

An element $R' \in \mathcal{R}_n$ will be in $\mathcal{R}$ if $|A \cap R'| > q|R'|$ and R' is not contained in any $R'' \in \mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$ with $|A \cap R''| > q|R''|$. Now set

$$D = \bigcup_i \widehat{R_i}.$$

Then the assertions (i) and (iii) are clear and it remains to prove (ii).
By Lebesgue's density theorem almost every point $z \in A$ is a point of density A, that is

$$\frac{|A \cap B_\varepsilon(z)|}{|B_\varepsilon(z)|} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} 1 \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Let z be a point of density of A and T_n the element of $\mathcal{R}_n$ with $z \in T_n$, then

$$\frac{|T_n \cap A|}{|T_n|} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 1 \quad \text{a.e..}$$

If z is a point of density of A and z is not on the boundary of some rectangles in $\mathcal{R}_n$ for some n, it follows that z must be in some $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence $|A \setminus D| = 0$. We now form a new collection of rectangles $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}$ as follows. Divide M into 2^d equal rectangles $\{S(j_1)\}_{j_1=1}^{2^d}$ with disjoint interiors. If $S(j_1) \subset D$, it will be one of the rectangles in $\mathcal{S}$; otherwise we split $S(j_1)$ into 2^d equal sub-rectangles with disjoint interiors and continue. To be more accurate, $S' \in \mathcal{R}_n$ will be in $\mathcal{S}$ if $S' \subset D$ but S' is not contained in any $S'' \in \mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$ with $S'' \subset D$. Since $D = \bigcup_i \widehat{R_i}$, we get

$$|D \cap M| = \sum_i |S_i|.$$

Hence $|A| = \sum_i |S_i \cap A|$. Thus it suffices to show that for each $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$

$$|A \cap S_i| \le q|S_i|.$$

Then we can sum over i and the assertion will be proved. Let $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$. If $S_i = M$, then $|A \cap M| = |A| \le q$. Otherwise $S_i \in \mathcal{R}_n$ for some $n \ge 1$ and is contained in a rectangle $R' \in \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$. Let $\{C_j\}_{j=1}^{2^d-1}$ denote the other rectangles of $\mathcal{R}_n$ that are contained in R'. Since $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, we know

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^d-1} C_j \cup S_i = R' \notin \mathcal{S}.$$

Moreover, since $S_i \subset D$, at least one of the rectangles $C_1, \dots, C_{2^d-1}$ cannot be contained in D. We have $S_i \cup C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{2^d-1} \subset \widehat{S_i}$ and hence $\widehat{S_i} \not\subset D$. Hence $S_i \notin \mathcal{R}$. All in all we have $S_i \cup C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_{2^d-1}$ is not contained in D, but $S_i \notin \mathcal{R}$ which implies $|A \cap S_i| \le q|S_i|$. □

We next prove a Lévy system type formula. The proof follows the idea of Proposition 2.3 in [BL02].

Proposition 5. *Suppose A and B are two Borel sets with* $\operatorname{dist}(A,B)>0$. *Then*

$$\sum_{s\le t}1_{\{X_{s-}\in A,X_s\in B\}}-\int_0^t 1_A(X_s)\int_B\sum_{k=1}^d\left(\frac{|(a_k^\tau(X_s))^{-1}|^{1+\alpha_k}c_{\alpha_k}}{|h_k-X_s^k|^{1+\alpha_k}}dh_k\left(\prod_{j\neq k}\delta_{\{X_s^j\}}(dh_j)\right)\right)ds$$

is a $\mathbb{P}^x$-martingale for each x.

Proof. Let $f\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f=0$ on A and $f=1$ on B. Moreover set

$$M_t^f:=f(X_t)-f(X_0)-\int_0^t\mathcal{L}f(X_s)ds.$$

By Assumption (iii) for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ the probability measure $\mathbb{P}^x$ is a solution to the martingale problem for $\mathcal{L}$. Hence we get

$$\int_0^t 1_A(X_{s-})dM_s^f$$

is a $\mathbb{P}^x$-martingale, since the stochastic integral with respect to a martingale is itself a martingale. Rewriting $f(X_t)-f(X_0)=\sum_{s\le t}(f(X_s)-f(X_{s-}))$ yields to

$$\sum_{s\le t}(1_A(X_{s-})(f(X_s)-f(X_{s-})))-\int_0^t 1_A(X_{s-})\mathcal{L}f(X_s)ds$$

is a $\mathbb{P}^x$-martingale. Since $X_s\neq X_{s-}$ for only countably many values of s, then

$$\sum_{s\le t}(1_A(X_{s-})(f(X_s)-f(X_{s-})))-\int_0^t 1_A(X_s)\mathcal{L}f(X_s)ds \tag{3}$$

is also a $\mathbb{P}^x$-martingale. Recall that $(a_j^\tau(x))^{-1}$ denotes the j–th row of $(A^\tau(x))^{-1}$. Let $w=(w_1,\dots,w_d)$ and $u=(u_1,\dots,u_d)$. For $x\in A$ we have $f(x)=0$ and $\nabla f(x)=0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}f(x)&=\sum_{k=1}^d\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}}f(x+a_k(x)h)\frac{c_{\alpha_k}}{|h|^{1+\alpha_k}}dh\\&=\sum_{k=1}^d\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}}\left(f(x+A^\tau(x)w)\frac{c_{\alpha_k}}{|w|^{1+\alpha_k}}\left(\prod_{j\neq k}\delta_{\{0\}}(dw_j)\right)\right)dw_k\\&=\sum_{k=1}^d\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\{0\}}f(u)\frac{|(a_k^\tau(x))^{-1}|^{1+\alpha_k}c_{\alpha_k}}{|u-x|^{1+\alpha_k}}\left(\prod_{j\neq k}\delta_{\{x_j\}}(du_j)\right)du_k.\end{aligned}$$

Note, that $c_{\alpha_k}/|h|^{1+\alpha_k}$ is integrable over h in the complement of any neighborhood of the origin for any $k\in\{1,\dots,d\}$. Since A and B are a positive distance from each other, the sum in (3) is finite. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{s\le t}(1_A(X_{s-})(1_B(X_s)-1_B(X_s)))\\&\quad-\int_0^t 1_A(X_s)\int_B\sum_{k=1}^d\left(\frac{|(a_j^\tau(X_s))^{-1}|^{1+\alpha_j}c_{\alpha_k}}{|h_k-X_s^k|^{1+\alpha_k}}dh_k\left(\prod_{j\neq k}\delta_{\{X_s^j\}}(dh_j)\right)\right)ds\end{aligned}$$

is a $\mathbb{P}^x$-martingale, which is equivalent to our assertion. □

The next Proposition gives the behavior of the expected first exit time of the solution to (1) out of the set $M_r(\cdot)$. This Proposition highlights the advantage of $M_r(\cdot)$ and shows that the scaling of the cube in the different directions with respect to the jump intensity gives the desired properties.

Proposition 6. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \in (0,1]$. Then there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(M_r(x)), d) > 0$ such that for all $z \in M_r(x)$*

$$\mathbb{E}^z\left[\tau_{M_r(x)}\right] \le c_1 r^\alpha.$$

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^z\left[\tau_{M_r(y)}\right] &= \mathbb{E}^z\left[\min_{1\le i\le d} \inf\{t\ge 0 : X_t^i \notin (y_i - r^{(\alpha/\alpha_i)}, y_i - r^{(\alpha/\alpha_i)})\}\right] \\ &\le \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^z\left[\inf\{t\ge 0 : X_t^i \notin (y_i - r^{(\alpha/\alpha_i)}, y_i - r^{(\alpha/\alpha_i)})\}\right] =: \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}^z\left[\Upsilon_i\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $j \in \{1,\dots,d\}$ be fixed but arbitrary. We want to show, there exists a $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^z(\Upsilon_j) \le c_2 r^\alpha. \tag{5}$$

Since we reduced the problem to a one-dimensional one, we may suppose by scaling $r = 1$. Let

$$\kappa := \inf\left\{|A(x)e_j| : x \in \overline{M_1(x)}\right\}.$$

By Assumption (i), we have $\kappa > 0$. There exists a $c_3 \in (0,1)$ with

$$\mathbb{P}^z(\exists z \in [0,1] : \Delta Z_s^j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-3/\kappa, 3/\kappa]) \ge c_3.$$

The independence of the one-dimensional processes implies that with probability zero at least two of the Z^i's make a jump at the same time. This leads to

$$\mathbb{P}^z(\exists s \in [0,1] : \Delta Z_s^j > \frac{3}{\kappa} \text{ and } \Delta Z_s^i = 0 \text{ for } i \in \{1,\dots,d\}\setminus\{j\}) \ge c_3. \tag{6}$$

Our aim is to show that the probability of the process X for leaving $M_1(x)$ in the j-th coordinate after time m is bounded in the following way

$$\mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m) \le (1-k_j)^m \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Suppose there exists $s \in [0,1]$ such that $\Delta Z_s^j > \frac{3}{\kappa}$, $\Delta Z_s^i = 0$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,d\}\setminus\{j\}$, and $X_{s-} \in M_1(x)$. Then

$$|\Delta X_s^j| = |\Delta Z_s^j|\,|A(X_{s-})(e_j)| > 3.$$

Note, that we leave $M_1(x)$ by this jump. By (6)

$$\mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j \le 1) \ge c_3 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > 1) \le (1-c_3).$$

Let $\{\theta_t : t \ge 0\}$ denote the shift operators for X. Now assume $\mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m) \le (1-c_3)^m$. By the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m+1) &\le \mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m; \Upsilon_j \circ \theta_m > 1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^z[\mathbb{P}^{X_m}(\Upsilon_j > 1); \Upsilon_j > m] \\ &\le (1-c_3)\mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m) \\ &\le (1-c_3)^{m+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Assertion (5) follows by

$$\mathbb{E}^x[\Upsilon_j] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > t)dt \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^z(\Upsilon_j > m) \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1-c_3)^m = c_2,$$

where we used the fact that the sum on the right hand side is a geometric sum. Thus the assertion follows by (4) and (5). □

Next, we give an estimate for leaving a rectangle with a comparatively big jump.

Proposition 7. *Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r \in (0,1]$ and $R \geq 2r$. There exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^d), d) > 0$, such that for all $z \in M_r(x)$*

$$\mathbb{P}^z(X_{\tau_{M_r(x)}} \notin M_R(x)) \leq c_1 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha.$$

Proof. Let

$$C_j := \mathbb{R} \setminus [x_j - R^{\alpha/\alpha_j}, x_j + R^{\alpha/\alpha_j}]$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq d$ let $k_j = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |(a_j^\tau(x))^{-1}| c_{\alpha_j}$. By Proposition 5 and optional stopping we get for $c_2 = \sum_{j=1}^d ((2k_j 2^\alpha)/c_{\alpha_j}) \leq 8d \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |(a_j^\tau(x))^{-1}|$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^z\left(X_{t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x)}} \notin M_R(x)\right) &= \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x)}} \int_{M_R(x)^c} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{|(a_j^\tau(X_s))^{-1}| c_{\alpha_j}}{|h_j - X_s^j|^{1+\alpha_j}} \left(\prod_{i\neq j} \delta_{\{X_s^i\}}(dh_i)\right) dh_j ds\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x)}} \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{C_j} \frac{k_j}{|h_j - X_s^j|^{1+\alpha_j}} dh_j ds\right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^z\left[\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x)}} \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{C_j} \frac{k_j}{|h_j - (x_j + r^{\alpha/\alpha_j})|^{1+\alpha_j}} dh_j ds\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^z[t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x))}] \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{2k_j}{\alpha_j (R^{\alpha/\alpha_j} - r^{\alpha/\alpha_j})^{\alpha_j}} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^z[t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x))}] \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{2k_j}{\alpha_j ((R/2)^{\alpha/\alpha_j})^{\alpha_j}} = \frac{c_2}{R^\alpha} \mathbb{E}^z[t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x))}].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the monotone convergence on the right and dominated convergence on the left, we have for $t \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{P}^z(X_{t\wedge\tau_{M_r(x)}} \notin M_R(x)) \leq \frac{c_2}{R^\alpha} \mathbb{E}^z(\tau_{M_r(x)}) \leq c_2 c_3 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha,$$

where c_3 is the constant showing up in the estimate $\mathbb{E}^z(\tau_{M_r(x)}) \leq c_3 r^\alpha$ of Proposition 6. □

3. The support theorem

The aim of this section is to prove the support theorem. It states that sets of positive Lebesgue measure are hit with positive probability. This theorem was first proved in 1979 in [KS79] for the diffusion case

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b_t dt.$$

In the article [BC10], Bass and Chen prove the support theorem in the context of pure jump processes. They consider the system (1) in the case $\alpha_i = \alpha$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and use the Krylov-Safonov technique in [BC10] to prove Hölder regularity with the help of the support theorem. The idea we use to prove the support theorem is similar in spirit to the one in [BC10].

The following Lemma is a statement about the topological support of the law of the stopped process. It gives the existence of a bounded stopping time T such that with positive probability the stopped process stays in a small ball around its starting point up to time T, makes a jump along the k-th coordinate axis and stays afterwards in a small ball.

Lemma 8. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}, v_k = A(x_0)e_k$, $\gamma \in (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}), t_0 > 0$ and $\xi \in [-r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}]$. There exists a constant $c_1 > 0 = c_1(\gamma, t_0, \xi, r, \Lambda(M_r^2(x_0))), \varpi(M_r^2(x_0))) > 0$ and a stopping time $T \le t_0$, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s<T}|X_s - x_0| < \gamma \text{ and } \sup_{T\le s\le t_0}|X_s - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| < \gamma\right) \ge c_1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Let

$$\|A\|_\infty := 1 \vee \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \sup_{x\in M_r^2(x_0)} |A_{ij}(x)|\right).$$

We assume $\xi \in [0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}]$. The case $\xi \in [-r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}, 0]$ can be proven similar. Let us first suppose $\xi \ge \gamma/(3\|A\|_\infty)$ and let $\beta \in (0, \xi)$, which will be chosen later. We decompose the process Z_t^i in the following way:

$$\widetilde{Z}_t^i = \sum_{s\le t} \Delta Z_s^i 1_{\{|\Delta Z_s^i|>\beta\}}, \quad \overline{Z}_t^i = Z_t^i - \widetilde{Z}_t^i.$$

Let $(\overline{X}_t)_{t\ge 0}$ be the solution to

$$d\overline{X}_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_{ij}(\overline{X}_{t-})d\overline{Z}_t^j, X_0^i = x_0^i.$$

The continuity of A allows us to find a $\delta < \gamma/(6\|A\|_\infty)$, such that

$$\sup_{i,j}\sup_{|x-x_0|<\delta}|A_{ij}(x) - A_{ij}(x_0)| < \frac{\gamma}{12d}. \tag{8}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \left\{\sup_{s\le t_0}|\overline{X}_s - \overline{X}_0| \le \delta\right\},\\
D &= \Big\{\widetilde{Z}^k \text{ has precisely one jump before time } t_0 \text{ with jump size in } [\xi, \xi+\delta],\\
&\qquad \Delta\widetilde{Z}_s^j = 0 \text{ for all } s \le t_0 \text{ and all } j \ne k\Big\},\\
E &= \left\{\widetilde{Z}_s^i = 0 \text{ for all } s \le t_0 \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, d\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since A is bounded, we can find a $c_2 > 0$, such that

$$\left[\overline{X}^i, \overline{X}^i\right]_t \le c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\overline{Z}^j, \overline{Z}^j\right]_t.$$

Note, that $\beta \in (0,\xi) \subset (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}) \subset (0,1)$. Therefore, we get

$$\mathbb{E}^{x_0}\left[\overline{X}^i, \overline{X}^i\right]_t \leq c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}^{x_0}\left[\overline{Z}^j, \overline{Z}^j\right]_t = c_2 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^t \left(\int_{-\beta}^{\beta} \frac{c_{\alpha_j} h^2}{|h|^{1+\alpha_j}} dh \right) dt \leq c_3 t d \beta^{2-\alpha^*}.$$

By Tschebyscheff's inequality and Doob's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left[\sup_{s\leq t_0} |\overline{X}^i_s - \overline{X}^i_0| > \delta\right] \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}\mathbb{E}^{x_0}\left[\sup_{s\leq t_0}\left(\overline{X}^i_s - \overline{X}^i_0\right)^2\right] \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} 4 \mathbb{E}^{x_0}\left[\left(\overline{X}^i_{t_0} - \overline{X}^i_0\right)^2\right] \leq \frac{c_4 t_0 d \beta^{2-\alpha^*}}{\delta^2}.$$

Choose $\beta \in (0,\xi)$ such that

$$c_5 t_0 \beta^{2-\alpha^*} \leq \frac{\delta^2}{2d} \tag{9}$$

holds. Then by (9), we get

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C) = 1 - \mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s\leq t_0} |\overline{X}^i_s - \overline{X}^i_0| > \delta\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{10}$$

For $\widetilde{Z}^k$ to have a single jump before time t_0, and for that jump's size to be in the interval $[\xi, \xi+\delta]$, then up to time t_0 $\widetilde{Z}^k_t$ must have

(i) no negative jumps,
(ii) no jumps whose size lies in $[\beta, \xi)$,
(iii) no jumps whose size lies in $(\xi+\delta, \infty)$,
(iv) precisely one jump whose size lies in the interval $[\xi, \xi+\delta]$.

We can use the fact, that $\widetilde{Z}^k$ is a compound Poisson process and use the knowledge about Poisson random measures. The events descriped in (i)-(iv) are the probabilities that Poisson random variables $P_1, P_2.P_3$ and P_4 of parameters $\lambda_1 = c_6 t_0 \beta^{-\alpha_k}$, $\lambda_2 = c_6 t_0 (\beta^{-\alpha_k} - \xi^{-\alpha_k})$, $\lambda_3 = c_6 t_0 (\xi+\delta)^{-\alpha_k}$, and $\lambda_4 = c_6 t_0 (\xi^{-\alpha_k} - (\xi+\delta)^{-\alpha_k})$, respectively, take the values $0, 0, 0$, and 1, respectively.
So there exists a constant $c_7 = c_7(\alpha_k, t_0, \delta, \xi, \beta) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\big(\widetilde{Z}^k \text{ has a single jump before time } t_0, \text{ and its size is in } [\xi, \xi+\delta]\big) \geq c_7.$$

For all $j \neq k$, the probability that $\widetilde{Z}^j$ does not have a jump before time t_0, is the probability that a Poisson random variable with parameter $2c_6 t_0 \beta^{-\alpha_j}$ is equal to 0. Using the indepence of $\widetilde{Z}^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, we can find a $c_8 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(\Delta \widetilde{Z}^j_s = 0 \text{ for all } s \leq t_0 \text{ and all } j \neq k) \geq c_8.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D) \geq c_9$$

for a $c_9 = c_9(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, t_0, \delta, \xi, \beta) > 0$. Furthermore the $\overline{Z}^i$'s are independent of the $\widetilde{Z}^j$'s for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, so C and D are independent and we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C \cap D) \geq c_9/2.$$

Similary we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(E) \geq c_{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^{x_0}(C \cap E) \geq c_{11}. \tag{11}$$

Let T be the time, when $\widetilde{Z}^k$ jumps the first time, i.e. Z^k makes a jump greater then β. Then

$Z_{s-} = \overline{Z}_{s-}$ for all $s \le T$ and hence $X_{s-} = \overline{X}_{s-}$ for all $s \le T$. So up to time T, X_s does not move away more than δ away from its starting point. Note $\Delta X_T = A(X_{T-})\Delta Z_T$. By (8), we obtain on $C \cap D$

$$\begin{aligned}|X_T - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| &\le |X_{T-} - x_0| + |\Delta X_T - \xi A(x_0)e_k))| \\ &= |X_{T-} - x_0| + |A(X_{T-})\Delta Z_T - \xi A(x_0)e_k)| \\ &\le |X_{T-} - x_0| + \xi|(A(X_{T-}) - A(x_0))e_k| + |A(X_{T-})(\Delta Z_T - \xi e_k)| \\ &\le \delta + \frac{\xi d\gamma}{12d} + \delta\|A\|_\infty \le \frac{\gamma}{6}\left(\frac{1}{\|A\|_\infty} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + 1\right) \le \frac{\gamma}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Appling the strong Markov property at time T, we get by (11)

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{T\le s\le T+t_0} |X_s - X_T| < \delta\right) \ge \mathbb{P}^{X_T}(C \cap E) \ge c_{11}.$$

Note, that $|X_T - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| < \gamma/2$ and $|X_s - X_T| < \delta$ for all $T \le s \le t_0$ imply $|X_s - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| < \gamma$. All in all we get by the strong Markov property

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s<T}|X_s - x_0| < \gamma \text{ and } \sup_{T\le s\le t_0} |X_s - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| < \gamma\right) \ge \frac{c_9 c_{11}}{2},$$

which proves the assertion.
Now suppose $\xi < \gamma/(3\|A\|_\infty)$. Then $|x_0 - (x_0 + \xi v_k)| < \gamma/3$. We can choose $T \equiv 0$ and by (11) we get:

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s\ge t_0}|X_s - x_0| < \delta\right) \ge c_{11},$$

which finishes the proof. □

We need two simple geometrical facts from the field of linear algebra, whose proofs can be found in [BC10] (Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5).

Lemma 9. *Suppose u, v are two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\eta \in (0,1)$, and p is the projection of v onto u. If $|p| \ge \eta|v|$, then*

$$|v - p| \le \sqrt{1-\eta^2}|v|.$$

Lemma 10. *Let v be a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $u_k = Ae_k$, and p_k the projection of v onto u_k for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Then there exists $\rho = \rho(\Lambda(\mathbb{R}^d)) \in (0,1)$, such that for some k,*

$$|v - p_k| \le \rho|v|.$$

For a given time $t_1 > 0$ the following lemma shows that solutions stay with positive probability in an ε-tube around a given line segment on $[0, t_1]$. The case of $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_d$ was considered in [BC10].

Lemma 11. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t_1 > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}), \xi \in (0, \varepsilon/4)$ and $\gamma > 0$. Moreover let $\psi : [0,t_1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a line segment of length ξ starting at x_0. Then there exists $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(M_r^2(x_0))), \varpi(M_r^2(x_0))), t_1, \varepsilon, \gamma) > 0$, such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s\le t_1}|X_s - \psi(s)| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |X_{t_1} - \psi(t_1)| < \gamma\right) \ge c_1.$$

Proof. Note that ε is chosen such that $B_\varepsilon(x_0) \subset M_r(x_0)$. Let $\rho \in (0,1)$ be such that the conclusion of Lemma 10 holds for all matrices $A = A(x)$ with $x \in M_r^2(x)$. Take $\gamma \in (0, \xi \wedge \rho)$ such that $\widetilde{\rho} := \gamma + \rho < 1$ and $n \geq 2$ sufficiently large, such that $(\widetilde{\rho})^n < \gamma$. Let $v_0 := \psi(t_1) - \psi(0) = \psi(t_1) - x_0$, which has length ξ. By Lemma 9, there exists a $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that if p_0 is the projection of v_0 onto $A(x_0)e_{k_0}$, then $|v_0 - p_0| \leq \rho |v_0|$. Note, that $|p_0| \leq |v_0| = \xi$. By Lemma 8 there exists $c_2 > 0$ and a stopping time $T_0 \leq t_1/n$ such that for

$$D_1 := \left\{ \sup_{s<T_0} |X_s - x_0| < \gamma^{n+1} \text{ and } \sup_{T_0 \leq s \leq t_1/n} |X_s - (x_0 + p_0)| < \gamma^{n+1} \right\}.$$

the estimate

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1) \geq c_2$$

holds. Since $\gamma < 1$ and $\gamma^n \leq \gamma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have for $T_0 \leq s \leq t_1/n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_1) - X_s| &\leq |\psi(t_1) - (x_0 + p_0)| + |(x_0 + p_0) - X_s| \\ &\leq |v_0 - p_0| + \gamma^{n+1} = \rho\xi + \gamma^{n+1} \leq \widetilde{\rho}\xi \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

on D_1. Taking $s = t_1/n$, we have

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_{t_1/n}| \leq \widetilde{\rho}\xi.$$

Since $\widetilde{\rho} < 1$ and $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = |v_0| = \xi$, then (12) shows that on D_1

$$X_s \in B(x_0, 2\xi) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2) \quad \text{if } T_0 \leq s \leq t_1/n.$$

If $0 \leq s < T_0$, then $|X_s - x_0| < \gamma^{n+1} < \xi$, and so we have on D_1

$$\{X_s, s \in [0, t_1/n]\} \subset B(x_0, 2\xi) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2).$$

Now let $v_1 := \psi(t_1) - X_{t_1/n}$. When $X_{t_1/n} \in B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)$, then by Lemma 10, there exists $k_1 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that if p_1 is the projection of v_1 onto $A(X_{t_1/n})e_{k_1}$, then $|v_1 - p_1| \leq \rho |v_1|$. Let $T_1 \in [t_1/n, 2t_1/n]$ be a stopping time, determined by Lemma 8, and

$$D_2 := \left\{ \sup_{t_1/n \leq s < T_1} |X_s - X_{t_1/n}| < \gamma^{n+1} \text{ and } \sup_{T_1 \leq s \leq 2t_1/n} |X_s - (X_{t_1/n} + p_1)| < \gamma^{n+1} \right\}.$$

By the Markov property at the time t_1/n and Lemma 8, there exists the same $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_1/n}) \geq c_2$$

on the event $\{X_{t_1/n} \in B(x_0, \varepsilon/2)\}$ and hence especially on D_1. So

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1 \cap D_2) \geq c_2 \mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1) \geq c_2^2.$$

Let $s \in [T_1, 2t_1/n]$. Then on $D_1 \cap D_2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t_1) - X_s| &\leq |\psi(t_1) - (X_{t_1/n} + p_1)| + |(X_{t_1/n} + p_1) - X_s| \\ &\leq \rho |v_1| + \gamma^{n+1} \leq \rho\widetilde{\rho}\xi + \gamma^{n+1} \leq (\widetilde{\rho})^2 \xi + \gamma^{n+1} \\ &\leq \widetilde{\rho}^2 \xi. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by choosing $s = 2t_1/n$, we get on $D_1 \cap D_2$

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_{2t_1/n}| \leq (\widetilde{\rho})^2 \xi.$$

On $D_1 \cap D_2$, we have for $s \in [T_1, 2t_1/n]$: $|\psi(t_1) - X_s| < \xi$ and $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = \xi$, which implies

$$X_s \in B(x_0, 2\xi) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2) \quad \text{ on } D_1 \cap D_2.$$

In particular,

$$|X_{2t_1/n} - x_0| < 2\xi \quad \text{ on } D_1 \cap D_2.$$

If $s \in [t_1/n, T_1]$, then $|X_s - X_{t_1/n}| < \xi$ and $|X_{t_1/n} - x_0| < 2\xi$ on $D_1 \cap D_2$, which yields to

$$X_s \in B(x_0, 3\xi) \subset B(x_0, 3\varepsilon/4) \quad \text{ on } D_1 \cap D_2..$$

Let $v_2 := \psi(t_1) - X_{2t_1/n}$, and proceed as above to get events $D_3, \dots, D_k$ for $k \le n$. At the kth stage

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_k | F_{(k-1)t_1/n}) \ge c_2 \quad \text{ and so } \quad \mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} D_j\right) \ge c_2^k.$$

For $kt_1/n \le T_k \le s \le (k+1)t_1/n$

$$|\psi(t_1) - X_s| \le (\widetilde{\rho})^{k+1}\xi < \xi;$$

on the event $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} D_j$. Since $|\psi(t_1) - x_0| = \xi$.

$$X_s \in B(x_0, 2\xi) \subset B(x_0, \varepsilon/2) \quad \text{ on } \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} D_j.$$

If $kt_1/n \le s < T_k$, we obtain at the kth stage

$$|X_{kt_1/n} - x_0| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{ on } \bigcap_{j=1}^{k} D_j.$$

Thus

$$|X_s - x_0| \le |X_s - X_{kt_1/n}| + |X_{kt_1/n} - \psi(t_1)| + |\psi(t_1) - x_0| \le \gamma^{n+1} + \xi + \xi < 3\xi,$$

and therefore $X_s \in B(x_0, 3\xi) \subset B(x_0, 3\varepsilon/4)$. We continue this procedure n times to get events $D_1, \dots, D_n$. On $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} D_k$, we have

(1) $X_s \in B(x_0, 3\xi)$ for $s \le t_1$,
(2) $|X_{t_1} - \psi(t_1)| < (\widetilde{\rho})^n \xi < (\widetilde{\rho})^n < \gamma$, and
(3) $\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} D_j\right) \ge c_2^n$.

For $s \in [0, t_1]$,

$$|X_s - \psi(s)| \le |X_s - x_0| + |x_0 - \psi(s)| < 3\xi + \xi < \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad \text{ on } \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} D_j.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s \le t_1} |X_s - \psi(s)| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |X_{t_1} - \psi(t_1)| < \gamma\right) \ge \mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} D_j\right) \ge c_2^n =: c_1.$$

□

We can now prove an important theorem, which will be the main ingredient in the proof of the Hölder regularity. It states that the solution to (1) stays with positive probability in a ε-tube around a given continuous function.

Theorem 12. *Let $r \in (0,1], x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \varepsilon \in (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}), t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\varphi : [0,t_0] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be continuous with $\varphi(0) = x_0$ and the image of φ contained in $M_r(x_0)$. Then there exists $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(M_r^2(x_0)), \varpi(M_r^3(x_0)), \varphi, \varepsilon, t_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s\le t_0}|X_s - \varphi(s)| < \varepsilon\right) > c_1.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We define

$$U := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \exists s \in [0,t_0] \text{ such that } |x - \varphi(s)| < \varepsilon/2\}$$

and approximate φ within U by a polygonal path. Hence we can assume that φ is polygonal by changing ε to $\varepsilon/2$ in the assertion. We subdivide $[0,t_0]$ into n subintervals of the same length for $n \ge 2$ such that

$$r := L\left(\varphi\left(\left(\frac{kt_0}{n}, \frac{(k+1)t_0}{n}\right)\right)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

where L denotes the length of the line segment. Let

$$D_k := \left\{\sup_{(k-1)t_0/n\le s\le kt_0/n}|X_s - \varphi(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } |X_{kt_0/n} - \varphi(kt_0/n)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\sqrt{d}}\right\}.$$

Using Lemma 11, there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_1) \ge c_2.$$

By the strong Markov property at time t_0/n we get

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_2|\mathcal{F}_{t_0/n}) \ge c_2.$$

Using the Iteration as in the proof of Lemma 11, we get for all $k \in \{1,\dots,d\}$

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(D_k|\mathcal{F}_{(k-1)t_0/n}) \ge c_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n D_k\right) \ge c_2^n.$$

Hence the assertion follows by

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\sup_{s\le t_0}|X_s - \varphi(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \ge \mathbb{P}^{x_0}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n D_k\right) \ge c_2^n = c_1.$$

□

We state two corollaries, which follow immediately from Theorem 12.

Corollary 13. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}/4)$, $k = 1 - (\varepsilon/r^{\alpha/\alpha_*})$, $\delta \in (\varepsilon, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}/2)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover let $Q := M_r(x_0), Q' := M_r^k(x_0)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $R := M_r^\delta(y) \subset Q'$. There exists $c_1 = c_1(\Lambda(Q), \varpi(Q), \varepsilon, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_R < \tau_Q) \ge c_1, \quad x \in Q'.$$

Proof. Note, that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\partial Q, \partial Q') = \left|r^{\alpha/\alpha_i} - kr^{\alpha/\alpha_i}\right| = \left|\varepsilon\frac{r^{\alpha/\alpha_i}}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}}\right| \ge \varepsilon.$$

Let $x \in Q'$ be arbitrary and $\varphi : [0, t_0] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a polygonal path such that $\varphi(0) = x$ and $\varphi(t_0) = y$ and the image of φ is contained in Q'. Then the assertion follows by Theorem 12 and

$$\mathbb{P}^x\left(\sup_{s\le t_o}|X_s - \varphi(s)| < \varepsilon\right) \le \mathbb{P}^x(T_R < \tau_Q).$$

□

Corollary 14. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}/4)$. For $x \in M_r(x_0)$, we define $R := M_s(x)$ such that $R \subset M_r(x_0) =: M$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\partial R, \partial M) > \varepsilon$. Then there exists a $\xi = \xi(\varepsilon, \Lambda(M), r, \varpi(M) \in (0,1)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^y(T_R < \tau_M) \ge \xi = \xi(\epsilon).$$

for all $y \in M$ with $\operatorname{dist}(y, \partial M) > \varepsilon$.

Proof. Follows immediately by Corollary 13. □

We now prove the main ingredient for the proof of the Hölder regularity. It states, that sets of positive Lebesgue measure are hit with positive probability.

Theorem 15. *Let $r \in (0,1]$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $M := M_r(x_0)$. There exists a nondecreasing function $\varphi : (0,1) \to (0,1)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_M) \ge \varphi(|A|)$$

for all $x \in M_r^{1/2}(x_0)$ and all $A \subset M$ with $|A| > 0$.

Proof. We will follow the proof of Theorem V.7.4 in [Bas98]. Set

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) = \inf\left\{\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_{M_R(z_0)}) : z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, R > 0, y \in M_R^{1/2}(z_0), |A| \ge \varepsilon |M_R(z_0)|, A \subset M_R(z_0).\right\}$$

and

$$q_0 := \inf\{\varepsilon : \varphi(\varepsilon) > 0\}.$$

For ϵ sufficiently large, we know by Corollary 13 $\varphi(\epsilon) > 0$. We suppose $q_0 > 0$, and we will obtain our contradiction.
Since $q_0 < 1$, we can choose $1 > q > q_0$ such that $(q + q^2)/2 < q_0$. Moreover let

$$\eta := (q - q^2)/2, \quad \beta := \left(\frac{2^{1-d} q r^{-\sum_{i=1}^d (\alpha/\alpha_i)}}{q+1}\right)^{1/d} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \xi((1-\beta) r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}/6),$$

where ξ is defined as in Corollary 14.
Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^d, R \in (0,1]$, $x \in M_R^{1/2}(z)$ and $A \subset M_R(z)$ such that

$$q - \eta < \frac{|A|}{|M_R(z)|} < q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_{M_R(z)}) < \rho \varphi(q)^2. \tag{13}$$

Without loss of generality, set $R = r$ and $z = x_0$. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) < \rho \varphi(q)^2.$$

By Proposition 4 there exists a set $D \subset M_r(x_0)$ such that

$$|A| \le q |D \cap M_r(x_0)|.$$

Since $|A| > (q-\eta)|M_r(x_0)|$,

$$|D \cap M_r(x_0)| \geq \frac{|A|}{q} > \frac{(q-\eta)|M_r(x_0)|}{q} = \frac{(q+1)|M_r(x_0)|}{2}.$$

Define $E = D \cap M_r^\beta(x_0)$. Since

$$\frac{(q+1)|M_r^\beta(x_0)|}{2} = \frac{(q+1)2^d\beta^d r^{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_i}}}{2} = q,$$

we get $|E| > q$. By the definition of φ, we have $\mathbb{P}^x(T_E < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) \geq \varphi(q)$.
We will first show

$$\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) \geq \rho\varphi(q) \quad \text{for all } y \in E. \tag{14}$$

Let $y \in \partial E$, then $y \in \widehat{R}_i$ for some $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(y, \partial M_r(x_0)) \geq (1-\beta)r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}$. Define R_i^* as the cube with the same center as R_i but sidelength half as long. By Corollary 14

$$\mathbb{P}^y(T_{R_i^*} < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) \geq \rho.$$

By Proposition 4 (3) for all $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$

$$|A \cap R_i| \geq q|R_i|$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}^{x_0}(T_{A\cap R_i} < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) \geq \varphi(q) \quad \text{for } x_0 \in R_i^*.$$

Using the strong Markov property, we have for all $y \in E$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) &\geq \mathbb{E}^y\left[\mathbb{P}^{X_{T_{R_i^*}}}(T_A < \tau_{R_i}); T_{R_i^*} < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}\right] \\ &\geq \rho\varphi(q).\end{aligned}$$

Now we get our contradiction by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) &\geq \mathbb{P}^x(T_E < T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}^x\left[\mathbb{P}^{X_{T_E}}(T_A < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}); T_E < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}\right] \\ &\geq \rho\varphi(q)\mathbb{P}^x\left[T_E < \tau_{M_r(x_0)}\right] \geq \rho\varphi(q)^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

4. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we give the proof of the Hölder regularity.

Proof. Let $S := M_s(y) \subset M_r(x_0)$ and $A \subset S$ such that $3|A| \geq |S|$. Those sets will be specified later. Set $k = 1 - (\varepsilon/r^{\alpha/\alpha_*})$ and $S' := M_s^k(y)$, where ε is chosen such that $6|S \setminus S'| = |S|$. Then

$$6|A \cap S'| \geq |S|.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a collection of N equal sized rectangles as in Definition 1 with disjoint interiors and $\mathcal{R} \subset S$. Moreover let $\mathcal{R}$ to be a covering of S'. For at least one rectangle $Q \in \mathcal{R}$

$$6|A \cap S' \cap Q| \geq |Q|.$$

Let Q' be the rectangle with the same center as Q but each sidelength half as long. By Corollary 13 there exists a $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_{Q'} < \tau_S) \geq c_2, \quad x \in M_s^{1/2}(y). \tag{15}$$

Using Theorem 15 and the strong Markov property there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ with

$$\mathbb{P}^x(T_A < \tau_S) \geq c_3, \quad x \in M_s^{1/2}(y). \tag{16}$$

Let $R \geq 2r$. By Proposition 7 there exists a $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^z\left(X_{\tau_{M_r(x_0)}} \notin M_R(x_0)\right) \leq c_4\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\alpha \quad \text{for all } z \in M_r(x_0).$$

Let

$$\gamma := (1 - c_3), \qquad \rho := \left(\frac{c_3\gamma^2}{4c_4}\right)^{1/\alpha} \wedge \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \frac{\alpha^* \ln(\gamma)}{\alpha \ln(\rho)}.$$

By linearity it suffices to suppose $0 \leq h \leq M$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We first consider the case $r = 1$.
Let $M_i = M_{\rho^i}(x_0)$ and $\tau_i = \tau_{M_i}$. We will show that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\operatorname*{osc}_{M_k} h := \sup_{M_k} h - \inf_{M_k} h \leq M\gamma^k. \tag{17}$$

To shorten notation, we set $a_i = \inf_{M_i} h$ and $b_i = \sup_{M_i} h$. Assertion (17) will be will be proved by induction. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary but fixed. We suppose $b_i - a_i \leq M\gamma^i$ for all $i \leq k$; then we need to show

$$b_{k+1} - a_{k+1} \leq M\gamma^{k+1}. \tag{18}$$

By definition $M_{k+1} \subset M_k$ and therefore in particular $a_k \leq h \leq b_k$ on M_{k+1}. Set

$$A' = \{z \in M_{k+1} : h(z) \leq (a_k + b_k)/2\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume $2|A'| \geq |M_{k+1}|$. If this assumption does not hold, we consider $M - h$ instead of h. Let $A \subset A'$ be compact such that $3|A| \geq |M_{k+1}|$. By (16) there exists a $c_3 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k) \geq c_3$ for all $y \in M_{k+1}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y, z \in M_{k+1}$ such that $h(y) \geq b_{k+1} - \varepsilon$ and $h(z) \leq a_{k+1} + \epsilon$.
Since h is harmonic, $h(X_t)$ is a martingale. We get by optimal stopping

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) - h(z) =& \mathbb{E}^y\left(h(X_{T_A}) - h(z); \tau_k > T_A\right) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}^y\left(h(X_{\tau_k}) - h(z); \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-1}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}^y\left(h(X_{\tau_k}) - h(z); \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-i-1} \setminus M_{k-i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will now study these three components on the right hand side seperately. Note $h(z) \geq a_k \geq a_{k-i-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$

(1) In the first component, X enters $A \subset A'$ before leaving M_k. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^y(h(X_{T_A}) - h(z); T_A < \tau_k) &\leq \mathbb{E}^y\left(\frac{a_k + b_k}{2} - a_k; T_A < \tau_k\right) \\ &= \frac{b_k - a_k}{2}\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k) \leq \frac{M\gamma^k}{2}\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k). \end{aligned}$$

(2) In the component X leaves M_k before entering A. While leaving M_k, X does not make a big jump in the following sense: X is at time τ_k in M_{k-1}. Hence in this case $h(X_{\tau_k}) \le b_{k-1}$. This yields to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^y\left(h(X_{\tau_k}) - h(z); \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-1}\right) &\le \mathbb{E}^y\left(b_{k-1} - a_{k-1}; \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-1}\right)\\ &= (b_{k-1} - a_{k-1})\mathbb{P}^y(\tau_k < T_A) \le M\gamma^{k-1}(1 - \mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k)).\end{aligned}$$

(3) In the third component$X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-i-1}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $h(\tau_k) \le b_{k-i-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}^y\left(h(X_{\tau_k}) - h(z); \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-i-1} \setminus M_{k-i}\right)\\ &\quad\le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}^y\left((b_{k-i-1} - a_{k-i-1}); \tau_k < T_A, X_{\tau_k} \in M_{k-i-1} \setminus M_{k-i}\right)\\ &\quad= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(b_{k-i-1} - a_{k-i-1})\mathbb{P}^y(X_{\tau_k} \notin M_{k-i}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\gamma^{k-i-1}c_4\left(\frac{\rho^k}{\rho^{k-i}}\right)^{\alpha}\\ &\quad= c_4 M\gamma^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^i = c_4 M\gamma^{k-1}\frac{\rho^\alpha}{\gamma - \rho^\alpha}\\ &\quad\le 2c_4\gamma^{k-2}M\rho^\alpha \le \frac{c_3 M\gamma^k}{2},\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\frac{\rho^\alpha}{\gamma} \le \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \le \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^{1/\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\rho^\alpha}{\gamma - \rho^\alpha} \le 2\frac{\rho^\alpha}{\gamma}.$$

Note, that the choice of $\gamma = 1 - c_3$ implies $\frac{\gamma-2}{2\gamma}c_3 + \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{c_3}{2} = \gamma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}h(y) - h(z) &\le \frac{M\gamma^k}{2}\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k) + \frac{M\gamma^{k-1}}{r^\beta}(1 - \mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k)) + \frac{c_3 M\gamma^k}{2}\\ &= M\gamma^k\left((\frac{\gamma-2}{2\gamma}\mathbb{P}^y(T_A < \tau_k) + \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{c_3}{2}\right)\\ &\le M\gamma^k\left(\frac{\gamma-2}{2\gamma}c_3 + \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{c_3}{2}\right)\\ &= M\gamma^{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$b_{k+1} - a_{k+1} \le M\gamma^{k+1} + 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, this proves (18) and therefore (17).
Let $x, y \in M_1(x_0)$ and choose $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $M^2_{\rho^k}(x)$ is the smallest rectangle with $y \in M_{\rho^k}(x)$. Then $|x - y| \le 2\sqrt{d}\rho^{(\alpha k)/\alpha^*}$ and therefore

$$k \ge \frac{\alpha^* \log\left(\frac{|x-y|}{2\sqrt{d}}\right)}{\alpha\log(\rho)} \quad \text{for } y \in M_{\rho^k}(x).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}|h(y) - h(x)| \le M\gamma^k &= Me^{k\log(\gamma)}\\ &\le Me^{(\log[|x-y|/(2\sqrt{d})])((\alpha^*\log(\gamma))/(\alpha\log(\rho)))}\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{M|x-y|^{(\alpha^* \log(\gamma))/(\alpha \log(\rho))}}{2\sqrt{d}} = c_5 M|x-y|^{\beta}.$$

Now let h be harmonic on $M_r^2(x_0)$. Then $h'(x) := h(r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}x)$ is harmonic on $M_1^2(x_0)$. Let $x, y \in M_1(x_0)$ and $x', y' \in M_r(x_0)$ such that

$$x = (x_1'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_1}, \dots, x_d'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_d}), \quad y = (y_1'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_1}, \dots, y_d'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_d}) \in M_1(x_0).$$

Then $|x-y| \le r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}|x'-y'|$. Set $\widetilde{x} = x'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}$ and $\widetilde{y} = y'/r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}$. We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x') - h(y')| &= |h(r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}\widetilde{x}) - h(r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}\widetilde{y})| = |h'(\widetilde{x}) - h'(\widetilde{y})| \\ &\le c_1|\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{y}|^{\beta} \sup_{\widetilde{z}\in\mathbb{R}^d} |h(\widetilde{z})| \\ &= c_1 \left|\frac{x'}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}} - \frac{y'}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}}\right|^{\beta} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} |h(z)| = c_1 \left(\frac{|x'-y'|}{r^{\alpha/\alpha_*}}\right)^{\beta} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} |h(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

[Bas98] Richard F. Bass. *Diffusions and elliptic operators*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[BC06] Richard F. Bass and Zhen-Qing Chen. Systems of equations driven by stable processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 134(2):175–214, 2006.

[BC10] Richard F. Bass and Zhen-Qing Chen. Regularity of harmonic functions for a class of singular stable-like processes. *Math. Z.*, 266(3):489–503, 2010.

[BL02] Richard F. Bass and David A. Levin. Harnack inequalities for jump processes. *Potential Anal.*, 17(4):375–388, 2002.

[CT04] Rama Cont and Peter Tankov. *Financial modelling with jump processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.

[EK86] Stewart N. Ethier and Thomas G. Kurtz. *Markov processes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics: Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1986. Characterization and convergence.

[KS79] N. V. Krylov and M. V. Safonov. An estimate for the probability of a diffusion process hitting a set of positive measure. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 245(1):18–20, 1979.

[Sat13] Ken-iti Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*, volume 68 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original, Revised edition of the 1999 English translation.

E-mail address: jchaker@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Postfach 100131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany